UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,863	06/26/2003	Bong-Hwoan Choi	1293.1758	1435
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 09/29/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	DIZ ANTENDIE NIN	LAMB, CHRISTOPHER RAY		
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/603,863	CHOI, BONG-HWOAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher R. Lamb	2627	

		Officiopher 14: Lamb	2027
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY	Y FILED <u>12 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.
applic applic	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ation, applicant must timely file one of the following ation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe entinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) 🔲 Th	ne period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
no Ex	ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A b event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la kaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b	ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( f time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s ) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	lotice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing t	he Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter e of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) 🛛	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	
_	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		tional. Clad amaged and the artists the
non-a	y proposed or amended claim(s) would be al llowable claim(s). urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	·	
how the standard control contr	tatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  (s) allowed:  (s) objected to:  (s) rejected: 6-10.  (s) withdrawn from consideration:		
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
becau	ffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu use applicant failed to provide a showing of good and ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o ng a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
see	request for reconsideration has been considered bu NOTE below.		n condition for allowance because:
12.	the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (er:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Joseph H	H. Feild/		
•	ory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627		

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Note 3: Applicant's proposed amendment makes substantial changes to the independent claim, requiring further consideration and/or search.

Note 11: Applicant's arguments are based on the proposed amendment. This amendment was not entered, and therefore these argument are not applicable. To briefly address them regardless, Applicant admits that the prior art discloses every disc discrimination technique recited in the claim, and argues only that there is not a suggestion to use them together. However, since Okamoto, in describing the first technique, discloses that there are specific circumstances during which it would fail, it is obvious to use a second technique under those circumstances. Certainly one of ordinary skill could have combined them and achieved predictable results.